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#### Abstract

In this article, we concerned with a logarithmic p-Laplacian equation with distributed internal delay. Firstly, we obtain the global existence of solutions by utilizing the well-depth method. Later, under appropriate assumptions on the weight of the delay and that of frictional damping, we establish the exponential decay. Moreover, we obtain the blow up results for negative initial energy.
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## 1 Introduction

In this work, we deal with the logarithmic p-Laplacian equation with distributed delay as follows:

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t t}-\Delta u-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+\mu_{1} u_{t}(x, t)+\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \mu_{2}(s) u_{t}(x, t-s) d s &  \tag{1.1}\\ =u|u|^{q-2} \ln |u|^{k}, & x \in \Omega, t>0, \\ u(x, t)=0, & x \in \partial \Omega \\ u_{t}(x,-t)=f_{0}(x, t), & \text { in }\left(0, \tau_{2}\right), \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), & x \in \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain of $R^{n}(n \geq 1)$ with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega . k, \mu_{1}$ are positive constants, the term $\Delta_{p} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)$ is called $p$-Laplacian, the integral term denotes the distributed delay for $0 \leq \tau_{1}<\tau_{2}$ and $\mu_{2}:\left[\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a bounded function. $u_{0}, u_{1}, f_{0}$ are the initial data functions to be specified later.

Problems about the mathematical behavior of solutions for PDEs with time delay effects have become interesting for many authors mainly because time delays often appear in many practical problems such as thermal, economic phenomena, physical, chemical, biological, mechanical applications, electrical engineering systems and medicine [12]. Generally, logarithmic nonlinearity appears in nuclear physics, inflation cosmology, geophysics and optics (see $[3,8]$ ).

Firstly, for the literature review, we begin with the works of Birula and Mycielski [4, 5]. They investigated the following equation with logarithmic term:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-u_{x x}+u-\varepsilon u \ln |u|^{2}=0 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

They are the pioneer of these kind of problems. They established that, in any number of dimensions, wave equations including the logarithmic term have localized, stable, soliton-like solutions.

In 1980, Cazenave and Haraux [6] concerned with the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-\Delta u=u \ln |u|^{k} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The authors obtained the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of the equation (1.3). Gorka [8] obtained the global existence for one-dimensional of the equation (1.3). Bartkowski and Gorka [3], studied the weak solutions and established the existence results.

In 1986, Datko et al. [7] showed that, a small delay effect is a source of instability. In [14], Nicaise and Pignotti studied the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-\Delta u+a_{0} u_{t}(x, t)+a u_{t}(x, t-\tau)=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}, a>0$. The authors established that, under the condition $0 \leq a \leq a_{0}$, the system is exponentially stable. They proved a sequence of delays that shows the solution is instable in the case $a \geq a_{0}$.

In [15], Nicaise and Pignotti introduced the distributed delay:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \mu_{2}(s) u_{t}(x, t-s) d s \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under appropriate conditions, they established the exponential stability results of the wave equation with boundary or internal distributed delay.

Messaoudi and Kafini [11], studied the wave equation with delay as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{m-2} \nabla u\right)+\mu_{1} u_{t}(x, t)+\mu_{2} u_{t}(x, t-\tau)=b|u|^{p-2} u . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under suitable conditions, they proved the global nonexistence of the equation (1.6).
Nhan and Truong [13], concerned with the following equation with logarithmic term:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)-\Delta u_{t}=|u|^{p-2} u \ln |u| \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

They proved existence, decay and blow up results for the equation (1.7).
In [10], Kafini and Messaoudi studied the following wave equation with delay and logarithmic terms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-\Delta u+\mu_{1} u_{t}(x, t)+\mu_{2} u_{t}(x, t-\tau)=|u|^{p-2} u \log |u|^{k} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

They established the local existence and blow up results of the equation (1.8).
In the absence of the p-Laplacian term $\left(\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)\right)$, in [9], Kafini studied the following wave equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-\Delta u+\mu_{1} u_{t}(x, t)+\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \mu_{2}(s) u_{t}(x, t-s)=u|u|^{p-2} \ln |u|^{k}, \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

the author established the local and global existence. Moreover, he proved the exponential decay of solutions for the equation (1.9). Recently, some other authors studied hyperbolic type equations (see $[2,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30]$ ).

In this paper, we study the global existence, exponential decay and blow up of solutions for the logarithmic p-Laplacian equation (1.1) with distributed delay, motivated by above works. To our best knowledge, there is no research, related to the logarithmic p-Laplacian equation (1.1) with distributed delay term $\left(\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \mu_{2}(s) u_{t}(x, t-s) d s\right)$ and logarithmic $\left(u|u|^{q-2} \ln |u|^{k}\right)$ source term, therefore, our paper is the generalization of the previous studies.

This work consists of five sections in addition to the introduction: Firstly, in Section 2, we give some needed materials. Then, in Section 3, we get the global existence results by the well-depth method. Moreover, in Section 4, we prove the exponential decay of solutions. Finally, in Section 5, we establish the blow up results for negative initial energy.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we give some materials for our main result. As usual, the notation $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ denotes $L^{p}$ norm, and (.,.) is the $L^{2}$ inner product. In particular, we write $\|$.$\| instead of \|\cdot\|_{2}$.

Let $B_{p}>0$ be the constant satisfying [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{2} \leq B_{q}\|\nabla v\|_{q}, \text { for } v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to the [14], we introduce the new variable

$$
z(x, \rho, s, t)=u_{t}(x, t-\rho s) \text { in } \Omega \times(0,1) \times\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \times(0, \infty)
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
s z_{t}(x, \rho, s, t)+z_{\rho}(x, \rho, s, t)=0 \text { in } \Omega \times(0,1) \times\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \times(0, \infty) .
$$

Hence, the problem (1.1) is equivalent to:

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t t}-\Delta u-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+\mu_{1} u_{t}(x, t) & \\ +\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \mu_{2}(s) z(x, 1, s, t) d s=u|u|^{q-2} \ln |u|^{k} & \text { in } \Omega \times\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \times(0, \infty) \\ s z_{t}(x, \rho, s, t)+z_{\rho}(x, \rho, s, t)=0 & \text { in } \Omega \times(0,1) \times\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \times(0, \infty) \\ z(x, \rho, s, 0)=f_{0}(x,-\rho s) & \text { in } \Omega \times(0,1) \times\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \\ u(x, t)=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x) & \text { in } \Omega .\end{cases}
$$

The energy functional related to the problem (2.2) is, for $\forall t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
E(t)= & \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{p}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{k}{q^{2}}\|u\|_{q}^{q} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} s\left(\xi+\mu_{2}(s)\right)|z(x, \rho, s, t)|^{2} d s d \rho d x \\
& -\frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\xi$ is a positive constants satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}>\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \mu_{2}(s) d s+\frac{\xi}{2}\left(\tau_{2}-\tau_{1}\right), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the condition

$$
\mu_{1}>\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \mu_{2}(s) d s
$$

The following lemma shows that the related energy functional of the problem is nonincreasing:
Lemma 2.1. Suppose that (2.4) holds. Then, along the solution of (2.2) and for some $C_{0} \geq 0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(t) \leq-C_{0} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}+|z(x, 1, s, t)|^{2}\right) d x \leq 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By multiplying the first equation in (2.2) by $u_{t}$ and integrating over $\Omega$ and the second equation in (2.2) by $\left(\xi+\mu_{2}(s)\right) z$ and integrating over $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \times(0,1) \times \Omega$ with respect to $s, \rho$ and $x$, summing up, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\binom{\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{p}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{k}{q^{2}}\|u\|_{q}^{q}-\frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x}{+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} s\left(\xi+\mu_{2}(s)\right)|z(x, \rho, s, t)|^{2} d s d \rho d x} \\
= & -\mu_{1} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left(\xi+\mu_{2}(s)\right) z z_{\rho}(x, \rho, s, t) d s d \rho d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} u_{t} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \mu_{2}(s) z(x, 1, s, t) d s d x \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we handle the last two terms of the right-hand side of (2.6) as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left(\xi+\mu_{2}(s)\right) z z_{\rho}(x, \rho, s, t) d s d \rho d x \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\left[\left(\xi+\mu_{2}(s)\right)|z(x, \rho, s, t)|^{2}\right] d \rho d s d x \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \mu_{2}(s) d s+\xi\left(\tau_{2}-\tau_{1}\right)\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left(\xi+\mu_{2}(s)\right)|z(x, 1, s, t)|^{2} d s d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{\Omega} u_{t} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \mu_{2}(s) z(x, 1, s, t) d s d x \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \mu_{2}(s) d s \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \mu_{2}(s) d s \int_{\Omega}|z(x, 1, s, t)|^{2} d x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d E(t)}{d t} \leq & -\left(\mu_{1}-\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \mu_{2}(s) d s-\frac{\xi}{2}\left(\tau_{2}-\tau_{1}\right)\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& -\frac{\xi}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}|z(x, 1, s, t)|^{2} d s d x
\end{aligned}
$$

By using (2.4), we obtain, for some $C_{0}>0$,

$$
E^{\prime}(t) \leq-C_{0} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}+\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}|z(x, 1, s, t)|^{2} d s\right) d x \leq 0
$$

Q.E.D.

## 3 Global existence

In this section, we establish that the solution of (2.2) is uniformly bounded and global in time. For this aim, we set

$$
\begin{gather*}
I(t)=\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x \\
J(t)=\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{p}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{k}{q^{2}}\|u\|_{q}^{q} \\
+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} s\left(\xi+\mu_{2}(s)\right) z^{2} d s d \rho d x-\frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
E(t)=J(t)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}
$$

Lemma 3.1. Assume that the initial data $u_{0}, u_{1} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(0)>0 \text { and } \beta=\min \left\{k C_{q+l}\left(\frac{2 q E(0)}{q-2}\right)^{\frac{q-2+l}{2}}, k C_{q+l}\left(\frac{p q}{q-p} E(0)\right)^{\frac{q-p+l}{p}}\right\}<1 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $I(t)>0$, for any $t \in[0, T]$.
Proof. Since $I(0)>0$ we infer by continuity that there exists $T^{*} \leq T$ such that $I(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right]$. This implies that, for all $t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(t)= & \frac{q-2}{2 q}\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\frac{q-p}{p q}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{k}{q^{2}}\|u\|_{q}^{q} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} s\left(\xi+\mu_{2}(s)\right) z^{2} d s d \rho d x+\frac{1}{q} I(t) . \\
& J(t) \geq \frac{q-2}{2 q}\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\frac{q-p}{p q}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla u\|^{2} \leq \frac{2 q}{q-2} J(t) \leq \frac{2 q}{q-2} E(t) \leq \frac{2 q}{q-2} E(0) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p} \leq \frac{p q}{q-p} J(t) \leq \frac{p q}{q-p} E(t) \leq \frac{p q}{q-p} E(0) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the fact that $\ln |u|<|u|^{l}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u| d x \leq \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q+l} d x \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l$ is choosen to be $0<l<\frac{2}{n-2}$, such that

$$
q+l<\frac{2 n-2}{n-2}+l<\frac{2 n}{n-2} .
$$

Therefore, the embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q+l}(\Omega)$ and $L^{p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u| d x & \leq C_{q+l}\|\nabla u\|^{q+l} \\
& =C_{q+l}\|\nabla u\|^{2}\|\nabla u\|^{q-2+l} \\
& =C_{q+l}\|\nabla u\|^{2}\left(\|\nabla u\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{q-2+l}{2}} \\
& \leq C_{q+l}\left(\frac{2 q E(0)}{q-2}\right)^{\frac{q-2+l}{2}}\|\nabla u\|^{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u| d x & \leq C_{q+l}\|\nabla u\|^{q+l} \\
& =C_{q+l}\|\nabla u\|^{p}\|\nabla u\|^{q-p+l} \\
& \leq C_{q+l}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{q-p+l} \\
& =C_{q+l}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{\frac{q-p+l}{p}} \\
& \leq C_{q+l}\left(\frac{p q}{q-p} E(0)\right)^{\frac{q-p+l}{p}}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

here $C_{q+l}$ is the embedding constant.
As a result, by (3.1) and (3.2), we infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(t)>\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}-\beta\left(\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}\right)>0, \forall t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right] \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By repeating this procedure, $T^{*}$ can be extended to $T$.
Theorem 3.2. If the initial data $u_{0}, u_{1}$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.1, then the solution of (2.2) is uniformly bounded and global in time.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}$ is bounded independently of $t$. We see that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(0) \geq & E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+J(t) \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{k}{q^{2}}\|u\|_{q}^{q} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} s\left(\xi+\mu_{2}(s)\right) z^{2} d s d \rho d x+\frac{1}{q} I(t) \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{q}(1-\beta)\left(\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2} \leq C E(0)
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant depending only on $k, p$ and $C_{q+1}$.
Q.E.D.

## 4 Exponential decay

In this section, we establish the decay results. Firstly, we have the lemmas as follows:
Lemma 4.1. [9] The functional

$$
F_{1}(t)=\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} s e^{-\rho s}\left(\xi+\mu_{2}(s)\right)|z(x, \rho, s, t)|^{2} d s d \rho d x
$$

satisfies, along the solution of (2.2), for some $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}^{\prime}(t) \leq c_{1}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}-c_{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} s\left(\xi+\mu_{2}(s)\right)|z(x, \rho, s, t)|^{2} d s d \rho d x \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.2. The functional

$$
F_{2}(t)=N E(t)+\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x+\frac{\varepsilon \mu_{1}}{2} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} d x
$$

satisfies, along the solution of (2.2)

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{2}^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\left(N C_{0}-\varepsilon\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}-\varepsilon(1-\beta-\delta)\|\nabla u\|^{2} \\
& -\varepsilon(1-\beta)\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}-\left(N C_{0}-\varepsilon \frac{c_{*}}{4 \delta}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} z^{2}(x, 1, s, t) d s d x \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N, \alpha$ and $\varepsilon$ are positive constants.
Proof. Differentiation, by using equations in (2.2), satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{2}^{\prime}(t) \leq & -N C_{0} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}+|z(x, 1, s, t)|^{2}\right) d x \\
& +\varepsilon\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x\right) \\
& -\varepsilon\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}-\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \mu_{2}(s) z(x, 1, s, t) d s d x . \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Utilizing Young's inequality and the boundness property of $\mu_{2}(s)$, we obtain, for any $\delta>0$ and some $c_{*}>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{\Omega} u \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \mu_{2}(s) z(x, 1, s, t) d s d x \\
\leq & \delta\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\frac{c_{*}}{4 \delta} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} z^{2}(x, 1, s, t) d s d x . \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 4.3. Assume that (3.2) holds. Then, there exist two positive constants $c_{3}$ and $c_{4}$ such that

$$
E(t) \leq c_{3} e^{-c_{4} t} .
$$

Proof. Setting

$$
F_{3}(t)=F_{1}(t)+F_{2}(t) .
$$

It is easy to verify, for $\varepsilon$ small enough, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{3}(t) \sim E(t) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.1) and (4.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{3}^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\left(N C_{0}-\varepsilon-c_{1}\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}-\varepsilon(1-\beta-\delta)\|\nabla u\|^{2} \\
& -\varepsilon(1-\beta)\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}-\left(N C_{0}-\varepsilon \frac{c_{*}}{4 \delta}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} z^{2}(x, 1, s, t) d s d x \\
& -c_{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} s\left(\xi+\mu_{2}(s)\right)|z(x, \rho, s, t)|^{2} d s d \rho d x . \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\beta<1$, choosing $\delta$ small enough, such that $\alpha=1-\beta-\delta>0$.
For some $\omega>0$, the embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{q}^{q} & \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{q} \\
& \leq C\left(\|\nabla u\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{q-2}{2}}\|\nabla u\|^{2} \\
& \leq C(E(0))^{\frac{q-2}{2}}\|\nabla u\|^{2} \\
& \leq \omega\|\nabla u\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
-\frac{\varepsilon \alpha \omega^{-1}}{2}\|u\|_{q}^{q} \geq-\frac{\varepsilon \alpha}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Hence, (4.6) takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{3}^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\left(N C_{0}-\varepsilon-c_{1}\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}-\frac{\varepsilon \alpha}{2}\|\nabla u\|^{2}-\frac{\varepsilon \alpha \omega^{-1}}{2}\|u\|_{q}^{q} \\
& -\varepsilon(1-\beta)\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}-\left(N C_{0}-\varepsilon \frac{c_{p}}{4 \delta}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} z^{2}(x, 1, s, t) d s d x \\
& -c_{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} s\left(\xi+\mu_{2}(s)\right)|z(x, \rho, s, t)|^{2} d s d \rho d x . \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Whence $\delta$ is fixed, choosing $N$ to be large enough, such that

$$
N C_{0}-\varepsilon-c_{1}>0, N C_{0}-\varepsilon \frac{c_{p}}{4 \delta}>0 \text { and } 1-\beta>0
$$

Therefore, (4.7) takes the form, for some $C>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{3}^{\prime}(t) & \leq-C\left[\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}+\|u\|_{q}^{q}+\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} s\left(\xi+\mu_{2}(s)\right) z^{2} d s d \rho d x\right] \\
& \leq-C E(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the equivalence relation (4.5) and a simple integration over $(0, t)$, our result proved. Q.e.D.

## 5 Blow up

In this section, we prove the blow up results for negative initial energy. We have the assumption: $\mu_{2}:\left[\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right] \rightarrow R$ is an $L^{\infty}$ function such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{2 \delta-1}{2}\right) \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| d s \leq \mu_{1}, \delta>\frac{1}{2} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.1. Suppose that (5.1) hold. Let $u$ be a solution of (2.2). Then, $\mathcal{K}(t)$ is nonincreasing, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{K}(t)= & \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{p}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{k}{q^{2}}\|u\|_{q}^{q} \\
& -\frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} s\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right|\left|z^{2}(x, \rho, s, t)\right| d s d \rho d x \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}^{\prime}(t) \leq-c_{1}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right|\left|z^{2}(x, 1, s, t)\right| d s d x\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By multiplying the first equation of (2.2) by $u_{t}$ and integrating over $\Omega$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{p}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p} \\
+\frac{k}{q^{2}}\|u\|_{q}^{q}-\frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x
\end{array}\right\} \\
= & -\mu_{1}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} u_{t} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right||z(x, 1, s, t)| d s d x \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} s\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| z^{2}(x, \rho, s, t) d s d \rho d x \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} 2\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| z z_{\rho} d s d \rho d x \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| z^{2}(x, 0, s, t) d s d x \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| z^{2}(x, 1, s, t) d s d x \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| d s\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| z^{2}(x, 1, s, t) d s d x . \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{K}(t)= & -\mu_{1}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| u_{t} z(x, 1, s, t) d s d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| d s\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| z^{2}(x, 1, s, t) d s d x . \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

From (5.4) and (5.5), we get (5.2). By using Young's inequality, (5.1) and (5.6), we obtain (5.3). As a result, the proof is completed.

To establish our main result, we define

$$
\begin{align*}
H(t)= & -\mathcal{K}(t)=-\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|^{2}-\frac{1}{p}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p} \\
& -\frac{k}{q^{2}}\|u\|_{q}^{q}+\frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} s\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right|\left|z^{2}(x, \rho, s, t)\right| d s d \rho d x . \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar to the work of [10], we have the lemmas as follows:
Lemma 5.2. For $C>0$,

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x\right)^{s / q} \leq C\left[\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}\right]
$$

satisfies, for any $u \in L^{q+1}(\Omega)$ and $2 \leq s \leq q$, provided that $\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x \geq 0$.

Lemma 5.3. Depending on $\Omega$ only, suppose that $C>0$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq C\left[\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x\right)^{2 / q}+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{4 / q}+\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{4 / p}\right] \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x \geq 0$.
Lemma 5.4. Depending on $\Omega$ only, assume that $C>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{q}^{s} \leq C\left[\|u\|_{q}^{q}+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}\right] \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $u \in L^{q}(\Omega)$ and $2 \leq s \leq q$.
Theorem 5.5. Assume that (5.1) holds. Assume further that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p<q \leq \frac{p n}{n-p}, \text { if } n>p \\
q>p, \text { if } n \leq p,
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}(0)<0 . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the solution of (2.2) blows up in finite time.
Proof. By (5.3), we know that

$$
\mathcal{K}(t) \leq \mathcal{K}(0)<0 .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
H^{\prime}(t) & =-\mathcal{K}^{\prime}(t) \\
& \geq c_{1}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| z^{2}(x, 1, s, t) d s d x\right) \\
& \geq c_{1} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| z^{2}(x, 1, s, t) d s d x \geq 0 \tag{5.11}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<H(0) \leq H(t) \leq \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(t)=H^{1-\alpha}(t)+\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x+\frac{\mu_{1} \varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x, t \geq 0 \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ to be specified later and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2(q-2)}{q^{2}}<\alpha<\frac{q-2}{2 q}<1 \quad \text { and } \quad 2<\alpha p q<p q-4 . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying the first equation in (2.2) by $u$ and with a derivative of (5.13), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
L^{\prime}(t)= & (1-\alpha) H^{-\alpha}(t) H^{\prime}(t)+\varepsilon\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u u_{t t} d x+\varepsilon \mu_{1} \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x \\
= & (1-\alpha) H^{-\alpha}(t) H^{\prime}(t)+\varepsilon\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}-\varepsilon\|\nabla u\|^{2}-\varepsilon\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p} \\
& -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right||u z(x, 1, s, t)| d s d x+\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x . \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Thanks to Young's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| u z(x, 1, s, t) d s d x \\
\leq & \varepsilon\left[\delta_{1}\left(\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| d s\right)\|u\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{4 \delta_{1}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right|\left|z^{2}(x, 1, s, t)\right| d s d x\right] \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by (5.15), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
L^{\prime}(t) \geq & (1-\alpha) H^{-\alpha}(t) H^{\prime}(t)+\varepsilon\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}-\varepsilon\|\nabla u\|^{2}-\varepsilon\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p} \\
& -\varepsilon \delta_{1}\left(\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| d s\right)\|u\|^{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{4 \delta_{1}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right|\left|z^{2}(x, 1, s, t)\right| d s d x \\
& +\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x . \tag{5.17}
\end{align*}
$$

By using (5.11) and setting $\delta_{1}$ such that $\frac{1}{4 \delta_{1} c_{1}}=\kappa H^{-\alpha}(t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
L^{\prime}(t) \geq & {[(1-\alpha)-\varepsilon \kappa] H^{-\alpha}(t) H^{\prime}(t)+\varepsilon\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2} } \\
& -\varepsilon\|\nabla u\|^{2}-\varepsilon\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}-\varepsilon \frac{H^{\alpha}(t)}{4 c_{1} \kappa}\left(\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| d s\right)\|u\|^{2} \\
& +\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x . \tag{5.18}
\end{align*}
$$

For $0<a<1$, by (5.18), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
L^{\prime}(t) \geq & {[(1-\alpha)-\varepsilon \kappa] H^{-\alpha}(t) H^{\prime}(t)+\varepsilon a \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x+\varepsilon \frac{q(1-a)+2}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2} } \\
& +\varepsilon\left(\frac{q(1-a)}{2}-1\right)\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{q(1-a)}{p}-1\right)\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p} \\
& +\frac{\varepsilon(1-a) k}{q}\|u\|_{q}^{q}-\varepsilon \frac{H^{\alpha}(t)}{4 c_{1} \kappa}\left(\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| d s\right)\|u\|^{2}+\varepsilon q(1-a) H(t) \\
& +\frac{\varepsilon q(1-a)}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} s\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right||z(x, \rho, s, t)|^{2} d s d \rho d x . \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

By using (5.8) and (5.12), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\alpha}(t)\|u\|_{2}^{2} & \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x\right)^{\alpha}\|u\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x\right)^{\alpha+2 / q}+\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x\right)^{\alpha}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{4 / q} \\
+\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x\right)^{\alpha}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{4 / q}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

From Young's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\alpha}(t)\|u\|_{2}^{2} & \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x\right)^{\alpha}\|u\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x\right)^{(q \alpha+2) / q} \\
+\frac{2}{q}\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\frac{q-2}{q}\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x\right)^{\alpha q /(q-2)} \\
+\frac{4}{p q}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{p q-4}{p q}\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x\right)^{\alpha p q /(p q-4)}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\alpha}(t)\|u\|_{2}^{2} & \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x\right)^{\alpha}\|u\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq C\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x\right)^{(q \alpha+2) / q}+\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p} \\
+\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x\right)^{\alpha q /(q-2)}+\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x\right)^{\alpha p q /(p q-4)}
\end{array}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C=\max \left\{\frac{2}{q}, \frac{q-2}{q}, \frac{4}{p q}, \frac{p q-4}{p q}\right\}$.
By exploiting (5.14), we obtain

$$
2<\alpha q+2 \leq q, 2<\frac{\alpha q^{2}}{q-2} \leq q \text { and } 2<\alpha p q \leq p q-4
$$

Thus, lemma 5.2 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\alpha}(t)\|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}\right) . \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By combining (5.19) and (5.20), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
L^{\prime}(t) \geq & {[(1-\alpha)-\varepsilon \kappa] H^{-\alpha}(t) H^{\prime}(t) } \\
& +\varepsilon\left[a-\frac{c}{4 c_{1} \kappa}\left(\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| d s\right)\right] \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x \\
& +\varepsilon\left[\frac{q(1-a)-2}{2}-\frac{c}{4 c_{1} \kappa}\left(\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| d s\right)\right]\|\nabla u\|^{2} \\
& +\varepsilon\left[\frac{q(1-a)-p}{p}-\frac{c}{4 c_{1} \kappa}\left(\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| d s\right)\right]\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p} \\
& +\frac{\varepsilon(1-a) k}{q}\|u\|_{q}^{q}+\varepsilon \frac{q(1-a)+2}{2}\left\|u u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon q(1-a) H(t) \\
& +\frac{\varepsilon q(1-a)}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} s\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right||z(x, \rho, s, t)|^{2} d s d \rho d x \tag{5.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Since, choosing $a>0$ so small, such that

$$
\frac{q(1-a)-2}{2}>0
$$

and choosing $\kappa$ large enough, we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{q(1-a)-2}{2}-\frac{c}{4 c_{1} \kappa}\left(\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| d s\right)>0 \\
a-\frac{c}{4 c_{1} \kappa}\left(\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| d s\right)>0 \\
\frac{q(1-a)-p}{p}-\frac{c}{4 c_{1} \kappa}\left(\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\mu_{2}(s)\right| d s\right)>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Once $\kappa$ and $a$ are fixed, picking $\varepsilon$ so small, such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1-\alpha)-\varepsilon \kappa>0 \\
H(0)+\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u_{0} u_{1} d x>0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, for some $\lambda>0$, estimate (5.21) takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
L^{\prime}(t) \geq & \lambda\left[H(t)+\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}+\|u\|_{q}^{q}\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \ln |u|^{k} d x+\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} s\left(\mu_{2}(s)\right)|z(x, \rho, s, t)|^{2} d s d \rho d x\right] \tag{5.22}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(t) \geq L(0)>0, t \geq 0 \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the embedding $\|u\|_{2} \leq c\|u\|_{q}$ and Hölder's inequality, we get

$$
\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x \leq\|u\|_{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq c\|u\|_{q}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}
$$

then from Young's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right|^{1 /(1-\alpha)} \leq c\left(\|u\|_{q}^{\mu /(1-\alpha)}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{\theta /(1-\alpha)}\right), \text { for } 1 / \mu+1 / \theta=1 \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 5.4, we take $\theta=2(1-\alpha)$ which gives $\mu /(1-\alpha)=2 /(1-2 \alpha) \leq q$. Therefore, for $s=2 /(1-2 \alpha)$, the estimate (5.24) satisfies

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right|^{1 /(1-\alpha)} \leq c\left(\|u\|_{q}^{s}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

Therefore, Lemma 5.4 satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right|^{1 /(1-\alpha)} \leq c\left[\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|u\|_{q}^{q}\right] . \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
L^{1 /(1-\alpha)}(t) & =\left(H^{1-\alpha}(t)+\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x+\frac{\mu_{1} \varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x\right)^{1 /(1-\alpha)} \\
& \leq c\left[H(t)+\left|\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right|^{1 /(1-\alpha)}+\|u\|_{2}^{2 /(1-\alpha)}\right] \\
& \leq c\left[H(t)+\left|\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right|^{1 /(1-\alpha)}+\|u\|_{q}^{2 /(1-\alpha)}\right] \\
& \leq c\left[H(t)+\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|u\|_{q}^{q}\right], t \geq 0 \tag{5.26}
\end{align*}
$$

By combining (5.22) and (5.26), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\prime}(t) \geq \Lambda L^{1 /(1-\alpha)}(t), t \geq 0 \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ is a positive constant depending only on $\lambda$ and $c$. A simple integration of (5.27) over ( $0, t$ ) yields

$$
L^{\alpha /(1-\alpha)}(t) \geq \frac{1}{L^{-\alpha /(1-\alpha)}(0)-\Lambda \alpha t /(1-\alpha)}
$$

Thus, $L(t)$ blows up in time $T^{*}$

$$
T \leq T^{*}=\frac{1-\alpha}{\Lambda \alpha L^{\alpha /(1-\alpha)}(0)}
$$

As a result, the proof is completed.
Q.E.D.

## 6 Conclusions

Recently, there has been published much works concerning the wave equations (Kirchhoff, Petrovsky, Bessel,... etc.) with different state of delay time (constant delay, time-varying delay,... etc.). However, to the best of our knowledge, there were no existence, exponential decay and blow up of solutions for the logarithmic p-Laplacian equation with distributed delay. We have been established the global existence, exponential decay and blow up results for the logarithmic p-Laplacian equation with distributed delay under appropriate conditions.

## Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to DUBAP (ZGEF.20.009) for research funds.

## References

[1] R.A. Adams, J.J.F. Fournier, Sobolev Spaces, Academic Press, (2003).
[2] A. Antontsev, J. Ferreira, E. Pişkin, H. Yüksekkaya and M. Shahrouzi, Blow up and asymptotic behavior of solutions for a $p(x)$-Laplacian equation with delay term and variable exponents, Electron. J. Differ. Equ., 2021(84) (2021), 1-20.
[3] K. Bartkowski and P. Gŏrka, One-dimensional Klein-Gordon equation with logarithmic nonlinearities, J. Phys. A, Math. Theor., 41(35) (2008), 355201.
[4] I. Bialynicki-Birula and J. Mycielski, Wave equations with logarithmic nonlinearities, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math Astronom Phys., 23(4) (1975), 461-466.
[5] I. Bialynicki-Birula and J. Mycielski, Nonlinear wave mechanics, Ann. Physics, 100(1-2) (1976), 62-93.
[6] T. Cazenave and A. Haraux, Equations d'evolution avec non-linearite logarithmique, Ann. Fac. Sci. Touluse Math., 2(1) (1980), 21-51.
[7] R. Datko, J. Lagnese and M.P. Polis, An example on the effect of time delays in boundary feedback stabilization of wave equations, SICON, 24(1) (1986), 152-156.
[8] P. Gorka, Logarithmic Klein-Gordon equation, Acta Phys. Polon., B40 (2009), 59-66.
[9] M. Kafini, On the decay of a nonlinear wave equation with delay, Ann. Univ. Ferrara, 67 (2021),309325
[10] M. Kafini and S.A. Messaoudi, Local existence and blow up of solutions to a logarithmic nonlinear wave equation with delay, Appl. Anal., 99 (2019), 530-547.
[11] M. Kafini and S A. Messaoudi, A blow-up result in a nonlinear wave equation with delay, Mediterr J. Math., 13 (2016), 237-247.
[12] M. Kafini and S.A. Messaoudi, A blow-up result in a nonlinear wave equation with delay, Mediterr. J. Math., 13 (2016), 237-247.
[13] C.N. Le and X. T. Le, Global solution and blow up for a class of Pseudo p-Laplacian evolution equations with logarithmic nonlinearity, Comput. Math. Appl. 73(9) (2017), 2076-2091.
[14] S. Nicaise and C. Pignotti, Stability and instability results of the wave equation with a delay term in the boundary or internal feedbacks, SIAM J. Control Optim, 45(5) (2006), 1561-1585.
[15] S. Nicaise and C. Pignotti, Stabilization of the wave equation with boundary or internal distributed delay, Diff. Int. Equ., 21 (2008), 935-958.
[16] E. Pişkin and H. Yüksekkaya, Non-existence of solutions for a Timoshenko equations with weak dissipation, Math. Morav., 22(2) (2018), 1-9.
[17] E. Pişkin and H. Yüksekkaya, Mathematical behavior of the solutions of a class of hyperbolictype equation, J. BAUN Inst. Sci. Technol., 20(3) (2018), 117-128.
[18] E. Pişkin and H. Yüksekkaya, Blow up of solutions for a Timoshenko equation with damping terms, Middle East J. Sci., 4(2) (2018), 70-80.
[19] E. Pişkin and H. Yüksekkaya, Global Attractors for the Higher-Order Evolution Equation, AMNS, 5(1) (2020), 195-210.
[20] E. Pişkin and H. Yüksekkaya, Decay of solutions for a nonlinear Petrovsky equation with delay term and variable exponents, The Aligarh Bull. of Maths., 39(2) (2020), 63-78.
[21] E. Pişkin and H. Yüksekkaya, Local existence and blow up of solutions for a logarithmic nonlinear viscoelastic wave equation with delay, Comput. Methods Differ. Equ., 9(2) (2021), 623636.
[22] E. Pişkin and H. Yüksekkaya, Blow-up of solutions for a logarithmic quasilinear hyperbolic equation with delay term, J. Math. Anal., 12(1) (2021), 56-64.
[23] E. Pişkin and H. Yüksekkaya, Blow up of solution for a viscoelastic wave equation with mLaplacian and delay terms, Tbil. Math. J., SI (7) (2021), 21-32.
[24] E. Pişkin and H. Yüksekkaya, Blow up of Solutions for Petrovsky Equation with Delay Term, Journal of Nepal Mathematical Society, 4 (1) (2021), 76-84.
[25] E. Pişkin, H. Yüksekkaya and N. Mezouar, Growth of Solutions for a Coupled Viscoelastic Kirchhoff System with Distributed Delay Terms, Menemui Matematik (Discovering Mathematics), 43(1) (2021), 26-38.
[26] E. Pişkin and H. Yüksekkaya, Blow-up results for a viscoelastic plate equation with distributed delay, Journal of Universal Mathematics, 4(2) (2021), 128-139.
[27] E. Pişkin and H. Yüksekkaya, Nonexistence of global solutions for a Kirchhoff-type viscoelastic equation with distributed delay, Journal of Universal Mathematics, 4(2) (2021), 271-282.
[28] H. Yüksekkaya, E. Pişkin, S.M. Boulaaras, B.B. Cherif and S.A. Zubair, Existence, Nonexistence, and Stability of Solutions for a Delayed Plate Equation with the Logarithmic Source, Adv. Math. Phys., 2021 (2021), 1-11.
[29] H. Yüksekkaya, E. Pişkin, S.M. Boulaaras and B.B. Cherif, Existence, Decay and Blow-Up of Solutions for a Higher-Order Kirchhoff-Type Equation with Delay Term, J. Funct. Spaces, 1-11 (2021), Article ID 4414545.
[30] H. Yüksekkaya and E. Pişkin, Nonexistence of solutions for a logarithmic m-Laplacian type equation with delay term, Konuralp Journal of Mathematics, 9 (2) (2021), 238-244.

